## HARP / K-mirror problems April 2014

Following the removal and replacement of the array for maintenance, large pointing errors were encountered. Pointing residuals uaz, uel are shown below as functions of azimuth, elevation (E), the K-mirror angle (M a.k.a. 2 K ) and (E-M), respectively:


Definition of the residuals as unique functions of any of these quantities only seems possible in the case of (E-M). Theory suggests that their forms should be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { uaz }=x c+x d^{*} \cos (E-2 K)+y d * \sin (E-2 K) \\
& \text { uel }=y c-x d * \sin (E-2 K)+y d * \cos (E-2 K)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the 40 data obtained on $20140411+20140417+20140429$ (above) yield (xc, yc, xd, yd) $=(0.5,0.2,10.1,13.8)$, leaving residuals in (uaz,uel) of (1.5", 2.5") rms.

The data of 20140429 filled a gap in (E-M) space - 80<E-M<150 - and allowed installation in /jac_sw/itsroot/src/tcs/thi/THI.tide
and testing later that night of

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { KM_PNTG_OFF.X1 }=-10.1 \\
\text { KM_PNTG_OFF.Y1 }=-13.8
\end{gathered}
$$

The subsequent pointing had rms scatters in of (2.7", 3.1") - worse than anticipated! - and showed only weak systematics as functions of (az, el, 2 K , or E-2K) - the strongest is shown below left.


The plot above right shows the residuals as a function of $2 \mathrm{~K}(=\mathrm{M})$, which is the next likely dependency of these residuals; but no strong systematic shows. However, display may be problematic given the additional functional form expected:

```
uaz = -x0*sin(2K) - y0* cos(2K)
uel = x0*}\operatorname{cos}(2\textrm{K})-\textrm{y}0*\operatorname{sin}(2\textrm{K}
```

A full solution to the data of UT20140411+17+29, of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { uaz }=x c-x 0 * \sin (2 K)-y 0 * \cos (2 K)+x d * \cos (E-2 K)+y d * \sin (E-2 K) \\
& \text { uel }=y c+x 0 * \cos (2 K)-y 0 * \sin (2 K)-x d * \sin (E-2 K)+y d * \cos (E-2 K)
\end{aligned}
$$

is $(\mathrm{xc}, \mathrm{yc}, \mathrm{x} 0, \mathrm{y} 0, \mathrm{xd}, \mathrm{yd})=(0.4,-0.4,-3.2,-6.1,4.9,9.9)$, with rms residuals of ( 1.5 ", 1.6 ").
Per was getting similar results, so on UT20140501 we tried this (averaged) model:

```
KM_PNTG_OFF.X0 = 3.3
KM_PNTG_OFF.Y0 = 5.0
KM_PNTG_OFF.X1 = -5.5
KM_PNTG_OFF.Y1 = -9.8
```

14 logged data had rms residuals in (az,el) of (1.6", 2.0 "), with the strongest remaining systematic being of uel-vs-el:


## Additional Notes

- imc analysis accomplished using customized Fortran programs
- /home/imc/pointing/progs/opt4.exe
- /home/imc/pointing/progs/opt6.exe
- The unexpected number of data from 20140429 with (E-M)=precisely 0.0 and 90.0 may be due (Per) to use of AZ-EL frame for pointing.
- The data recorded in the TPOINT (.pnt) files are not accompanied by K-Mirror terms, and have been rendered unusable for pointing model analysis: needs fixing . . .
imc/20140501

