

PONTIFICIA Universidad Católica De Chile



Spectral analysis of the quiescent low-mass X-ray binary in the globular cluster M30

Institut Spatial de McGill



<u>Constanza Echiburú</u> <u>Sebastien Guillot</u>

Collaboration with: Y. Zhao, C. O. Heinke, F. Özel, and N. A. Webb

#### Outline

- General description of neutron stars (NSs)
- Quiescent low-mass X-ray binaries (qLMXBs)
- Spectral analysis of the qLMXB in M30
- Discussion of the results
- Conclusion

# INTRODUCTION





- Remnants of massive stars
- Typical masses

and radii

Mean densities

 $9-25\,M_\odot$ 

$$M_{\rm NS} \sim 1.4 \,{\rm M}_{\odot}$$

 $R_{\rm NS} \sim 10 \, {\rm km}$ 

$$\bar{\rho}\sim 10^{15}{\rm g\,cm^{-3}}$$

Remnants of massive stars  $9 - 25 \text{ M}_{\odot}$  Typical masses  $M_{\rm NS} \sim 1.4 \text{ M}_{\odot}$  and radii  $R_{\rm NS} \sim 10 \text{ km}$  Mean densities  $\bar{\rho} \sim 10^{15} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ 



State of matter changes with increasing density



Credit: www.eurekalert.org/



# Dense matter equation of state

- Describes behaviour of matter and its composition at any point in the stellar interior
- Relate pressure with energy density P=P(arepsilon)
- When combined with the equations of stellar structure, one can obtain

$$P, \varepsilon \longrightarrow M_{\rm NS}, R_{\rm NS}$$



## How can we measure $R_{ m NS}$ ?

# See Özel & Freire 2016, Annual Reviews of Astronomy and Astrophysics!

- Spectroscopic measurements
  - Low-mass X-ray binaries in quiescence
  - Thermonuclear bursts
- Pulse Profile Modeling
  - Rotation powered pulsars
  - Accretion powered pulsars
  - Thermonuclear burst oscillations



68% confidence contours obtained from all NSs in LMXBs during quiescence

> Figure adopted from Özel & Freire (2016)

#### Radius measurements - systematics

- Atmosphere chemical composition
- Distance
- Non-thermal component (Power-law)
- Interstellar Extinction
- Surface temperature inhomogeneities (Hot spots)
- Rotation effects
- Magnetic fields
- Instrumental calibration
- Pile-up
- Extraction region size (?)

#### Low-mass X-ray binaries



Neutron star accretes material from a lowmass companion

$$L_X \sim 10^{36} - 10^{38} \,\mathrm{erg}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$$

Infalling material releases energy

Light-element surface

#### Quiescent low-mass X-ray binaries



Accretion at low level

$$\sim 10^{-10} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}}$$

 Luminosity comes from the heated core, after repeated accretion events

 $L_X \sim 10^{32} - 10^{33} \,\mathrm{erg \, s^{-1}}$ 

Deep crustal heating

(Brown et al. 1998)

#### Quiescent low-mass X-ray binaries



Sedimentation occurs on short timescales ~ minutes

Alcock & Illarionov 1980, Bildsten et al. 1992

H atmosphere is expected

but it depends on the nature of the companion star!

#### Emission from qLMXBs



#### Emission from qLMXBs

## Hydrogen atmosphere model for neutron stars

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

This is what we measure This is what we want Hydrogen fully ionized due to high surface temperatures:

 $T_{\rm eff} \sim 10^6 \,\mathrm{K}$ 

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Emission from qLMXBs

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Why are qLMXBs promising for measuring NS radii?

In quiescence, we observe the NS surface

They have low magnetic fields:

```
B\ll 10^{10}\,G
```

• We can model their thermal emission:

Hydrogen atmospheres

• If they are in globular clusters:

We know the distance

# **MY WORK**

#### Consists in analyzing data from the globular cluster M30

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Distance to M<sub>3</sub>o is 8.2 kpc

(O'Malley et al. 2017)

#### Chandra X-ray image of M30

Source: http://chandra.harvard.edu

Chandra observed the globular cluster M<sub>3</sub>o in two ocassions

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

## My work

Extraction of the spectra

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

My work

#### Extraction of the spectra

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### My work - Modelling emission

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

High-energy excess has been observed in the tail of LMXBs during quiescence

> (e.g., Campana et al. 1998, Rutledge et al. 2002, Campana et al. 2004, Denegaar et al. 2011, Bahramian et al. 2014)

## My work - Modelling emission

Our model accounts for:

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

Hydrogen atmosphere (Heinke et al. 2006a)

Typical photon index 1-2 (Guillot et a. 2013, Bahramian et al. 2014)

Verner et al. 1996, Wilms et al. 2000

Davis 2001

### My work - Modelling emission

 The atmosphere model depends on the parameters we are looking for

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

- First we search for signs of flux variations over the 16 years between observations
- Lack of variability allow us to fit the spectra simultaneously, and with tied NS parameters
- We confirm the equilibrium state of the NS by comparing fluxes:

$$\log_{10}(F_{2001}/\text{erg cm}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}) = -13.12^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$$
$$\log_{10}(F_{2017}/\text{erg cm}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}) = -13.11^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$$

#### My work - spectral analysis

 Second: spectral fitting using the X-ray Spectral Fitting Package (XSPEC)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

**MCMC** is a sampler, that explores the parameter space and tells us how well our model describes the data, given a set of parameters.

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

parameter space

- At each step, compares the new model to the old model (previous step) by computing its probability
- Keeps a record of every set of parameters tried
- Climbs to regions of higher
   probability —> convergence

#### **MCMC** is useful because:

- Allows to include known information in the model
- Exploration of parameter space results in posterior probability density functions of each parameter
- Shows how the parameters are correlated with each other
- It's easy to quantify the uncertainties of each parameter

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Markov Chain Monte Carlo Simulations (MCMC)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### My work - spectral analysis

# $6.7 \le R_{ m NS} \le 8.7 \, m km$ $M_{ m NS} \le 1.2 \, m M_{\odot}$

Lugger et al. 2007 RESULTS (90% confidence)

**MY RESULTS** 

(90% confidence)

 $7.0 \le R_{\rm NS} \le 19.2 \,\mathrm{km}$  $M_{\rm NS} \le 1.2 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ 

#### My work - spectral analysis

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

MY RESULTS (90% confidence)  $6.7 \le R_{\rm NS} \le 8.7 \,\mathrm{km}$  $M_{\rm NS} \le 1.2 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ 

# Why such a small radius?

#### Why such a small radius?

#### • He atmosphere models: underestimated radii by 20- 50%

Servillat et al. (2012), Catuneanu et al. (2013), Heinke et al. (2014), Bogdanov et al. (2016), Steiner et a. (2018)

Un-modelled hot spots: bias up to 28% smaller radii

Elshamouty et al. (2016)

 Rotational corrections: 3.5% underestimation compared to true radii
 Bauböck et al. (2015)

# **Testing He atmosphere**

#### Testing He atmosphere

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

# $8.5 \le R_{\rm NS} \le 13.4 \,\rm km$

## $M_{\rm NS} \le 1.8 \,{\rm M}_{\odot}$

Consistent with typical NS radii in the 11-14 km range

#### Testing He atmosphere

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **WORK IN PROGRESS**

## Work in progress

The source region size matters!

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

 It introduces an additional systematic error that has not been incorporated in spectral fitting so far

Our results may be slightly different —> still debating!

# Summary and conclusions

 H atmosphere results in a relatively small radius, but consistent with previous reported results

 He atmosphere results in a radius that is consistent with qLMXB radii measurements and other NSs

 The spectral model to be used will be determined by additional tests: identifying companion star (e.g. Haggard et al. 2004) or presence of surface Hot spots

# THANKS