Transients! in the JCMT-Transient Survey

Gregory J. Herczeg (沈雷歌 Shěn Léigē)

Kavli Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Peking University

Transient Team

<u>Coordinators</u> Gregory Herczeg (PI; PKU/China) Doug Johnstone (co-PI; NRC/Canada) Jeong-Eun Lee (KHU/Korea) Yuri Aikawa (Tsukuba/Japan) Geoff Bower (ASIAA/Taiwan) Vivien Chen (NTU/Taiwan) Jennifer Hatchell (Exeter/UK)

<u>Others</u>

Steve Mairs (Victoria), Hyunju Yoo (Chungnam), Kevin Lacaille (Dalhousie), Sung-ju Kang (KASI), Graham Bell (EAO), Sarah Graves (EAO), Harriet Parsons (EAO), E'lisa Lee (EAO), Satoko Takahashi (NAOJ), Wen-Ping Chen (NCU) Miju Kang (KASI), James Lane (Victoria), Helen Kirk (NRC), Oscar Morata (ASIAA), and many others (55 people total)









Protostellar Evolution

Cartoon from van Boekel 2005

Stars grow during protostellar phase





Cartoon from Tobin+2012

Cartoon from Isella 2006



Spectral Energy Distribution



Luminosity Problem (Kenyon et al. 1990; Dunham et al. 2009)



Episodic bursts of accretion (Kenyon et al. 1990; Dunham, Evans, et al. 2009)



Time dependence needed; episodic accretion is likely (but not only) solution (e.g., Offner & McKee; see review by Hartmann, Herczeg, & Calvet 2016).

FUor and EXor outbursts

(adapted from Kospal+2011)



Accretion Variability: EX Lup



McLaughlin 1946

EX Lup: 1950-2008

THE SPECTRA OF FIVE IRREGULAR VARIABLE STARS

GEORGE H. HERBIG Lick Observatory, University of California

The spectra of the five irregular variables listed in Table I have been obtained mainly with the 2-prism spectrograph of the 36-inch refractor and a camera of $3\frac{1}{2}$ inches focal length, a combination which gives a dispersion of 130 A/mm at $II\gamma$. The spectra of four of these stars are reproduced in Plate XVII. The information contained in Table I was taken from the *General* Contained for the stars are reproduced by P. Kaladia and P.

THE ASTRONOMICAL JOURNAL, 135:637-648, 2008 February © 2008. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. Printed in the U.S.A. doi:10.1088/0004-6256/135/2/637

HISTORY AND SPECTROSCOPY OF EXor CANDIDATES

G. H. HERBIG Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolula, HI 96822, USA Received 2007 September 7; accepted 2007 November 25; published 2008 January 16

ABSTRACT

The EXors are T Tauri stars (TTSs) that occasionally flare up from minimum light, apparently as the result of a massive infall of circumstellar material. The prototype, EX Lupi, is the only example that has been examined spectroscopically in any detail, so this paper surveys what can be gleaned from the literature about five candidate EXors, and describes new observations. The aim is to clarify the nature of these objects, and to determine whether they bear a convincing resemblance to EX Lup itself. The spectroscopy was carried out with the HIRES spectrograph (R = 48,000) at the Keck I telescope between 2004 and 2007. Three of the stars examined are in or near the Orion Nebula (NY, V1118, and V1143 Ori), while V1184 Tau is in the molecular cloud CB34, and V350 Cep is at the edge



2008 Outburst of EX Lup (Aspin+2010)

- 5-magnitude brightness
 2 x 10⁻⁷ M_{sol}/yr
 - 100 times higher than quiescence
- Lasted about half a year
 Similar strength, duration as 1955 outburst



FUors: accretion rate is so large that magnetosphere gets crushed

Causes of outbursts

- Disk instabilities (universal)
 - Gravitational, magneto-rotational, thermal
- Binarity (e.g. Bonnell & Bastien 1992, Reipurth 2000)
- Magnetospheric instabilities (D'Angelo & Spruit 2010, Armitage 2016)
- Tidal disruption of planets or alien weaponry (Herczeg+2016)

Frequency: 1 of 10⁴ stars from optical (Hillenbrand & Findeisen 2015) model 9 model 9 model 1

Models from Dunham & Vorobyov (2012) See also, e.g., Zhu+, Bae+, Stamatellos+, Vorobyov+, Machida+, others





Protostars: bury accretion outburst in an envelope



Era of Transient Surveys (ASAS-SN, PTF, Gaia, LSST)

Accretion bursts in youngest stages of stellar growth: Not detectable in optical, near-IR surveys



Episodic bursts of accretion (Kenyon et al. 1990; Dunham, Evans, et al. 2009)



Time dependence needed; episodic accretion is likely (but not only) solution (e.g., Offner & McKee; see review by Hartmann, Herczeg, & Calvet 2016).

Evidence for episodic accretion

- Outbursts on more evolved protostars (FUors, EXors)
- Repeated jet shocks
- Chemical signatures of past epochs of high luminosity (e.g., Kim+2011; Jorgensen+2013)
- Models of disk instabilities



Jet shocks of YSOs (Reipurth 1989; Hartigan+2011; Plunkett+2015)

Evidence for episodic accretion: envelope chemistry+snow line



Evidence for episodic accretion: envelope chemistry+snow line



Jørgensen et al. 2015; see also, e.g., J.-E.Lee 2007

Envelope snow line and past variability



Evidence for episodic accretion

- Outbursts on more evolved protostars (FUors, EXors)
- Repeated jet shocks
- Chemical signatures of past epochs of high luminosity (e.g., Kim+2011; Jorgensen+2013)
- Models of disk instabilities



How to detect protostellar variability? (adapted from Kospal+2011)



Direct detection of protostellar variability?



ASIAA Conference hosted by Sienny Shang in 2011



Light travel time smooths bursts



- Sub-mm continuum emission produced by dust
 - Depends on dust Temp
- Luminosity burst heats up dust, increases sub-mm emission
- Timescale for energy to propagate through envelope: weeks

Observed protostellar variability (Safron, Fischer, et al. 2015)



Embedded source identified in mid-IR Spitzer; Strong sub-mm emission post-outburst

Program description

(Herczeg+subm; Mairs+accepted, Yoo+, Mairs+, Johnstone+ in prep)

- I50 total hours spread over 8 fields of 30 arcmin (I.6 sq deg)
 - Perseus (2), Oph (1), Orion (3),
 Serpens (2)
 - Roughly monthly monitoring
 - Previous GBS epoch
- 105 bright clumps with ~200 protostars in fields

• 30' Pong



Levels of accretion variability for MRI+GI instabilities (Bae+2014, green) and GI (Vorobyov & Basu 2010, red)

Data Calibration: spatial offsets (Mairs+accepted)



Measure offset of every observation, re-reduce to same pixel scale

Flux calibration through differential photometry (Mairs+ 2017)





Flux calibration with differential photometry (Mairs+2017)

Default flux calibration uncertainty: 8% rms (see also Dempsey+2013)



Relative flux uncertainty: ~**2.5**%



A sub-mm Transient!



A sub-mm Transient!



The First JCMT Protostellar Variable



Hodapp+1999, 2012: periodic near-IR variability with 543 d period

Possible explanation: 1.5 yr eccentric binary

Comparison to Gould Belt Survey: ~3-yr baselines (Mairs+ in prep; Johnstone+ in prep)



A 2nd JCMTTransient?



Future of JCMT Transient Survey



The Transient Future

- Survey continues through 2018B
- Identify more transients
 - Also at 450 microns
 - Follow up transients (already JCMT, SMA, ALMA proposals)
 - Long-term variability?
- Full statistical analyses of fields
- Modelling effort
- Leverage depth for disk, filament, and VeLLO science



